

**AMAZON.COM, INC. V. CANADA (ATTORNEY GENERAL), 2010 FC 1011:
OCTOBER 14, 2010**

Date: October 14, 2010

On October 14, 2010, the Federal Court Trial Division issued a decision in *Amazon.com, Inc. v. Canada (Attorney General)*, 2010 FC 1011, overturning the Commissioner of Patents' decision to deny a patent for a "business method". Previously, the Commissioner found that Amazon.com's application for a "one-click" ordering method and system was not patentable subject matter under section 2 of the Patent Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. P-4.

In overturning the Commissioner's decision, Mr. Justice Phelan of the Federal Court confirmed that "business methods" are not automatically excluded from proper subject matter in Canada, holding that there was "no basis for the Commissioner's assumption that there is a tradition of excluding business methods from patentability in Canada". The Court acknowledged that fundamental differences existed between foreign and Canadian patent regimes and strongly criticized the Commissioner's reliance on foreign jurisdictions to justify her reasons.

The Federal Court also strongly criticized the Commissioner's adoption of a "four-step" approach in assessing subject matter, specifically rejecting the Commissioner's "form and substance", "contribution" and "technological" requirements in assessing the claims. In doing so, the Federal Court explicitly held that it is incorrect to "parse the claims into their novel and non-novel components in order to evaluate patentability". Rather, the Court reaffirmed that the claims are to be purposively construed as a whole. Specifically, the Court rejected the Commissioner's restrictive interpretation of the term "art" recited in section 2 of the Patent Act, and as set out earlier by the Supreme Court of Canada, reiterated the three elements in the test for "art": (i) it must not be a disembodied idea but have a method of practical application; ii) it must be a new and inventive method of applying skill and knowledge; and iii) it must have a commercially useful result.

The Federal Court decision has been appealed which may result in further refinement of Canadian jurisprudence respecting patentable subject matter in Canada.

PROSECUTION OF PATENT APPLICATIONS

In December 2009, the Commissioner amended chapters 12 and 13 of the Manual of Patent Office Practice ("MPOP") relating to "Utility and Subject-Matter" and "Examinations of Applications", respectively, and more recently in October 2010 amended chapter 16 relating to "Computer Implemented Inventions". The revised chapters were redrafted to reflect much of the rationale underlying the Commissioner's rejection of the Amazon.com application, including the now rejected "four-step" approach in assessing subject matter. In our view, the applicability and relevancy of these chapters are now brought into question.

While the current decision of Mr. Justice Phelan is important for indicating that there is no automatic exclusion for "business methods" in Canadian patent practice, the decision also provides a clear rejection of the Commissioner's "form and substance" analysis, impacting many of the Office Actions which the Canadian Intellectual Property Office ("CIPO") has recently issued based on the now rejected "form and substance" analysis. While the decision appears to clarify a number of aspects respecting

patentable subject matter in Canada, it remains to be seen what impact this decision will have on CIPO's examination procedures and the recently revised chapters of the MOPOP.

RICHIES®